Super-resolution of sea ice thickness using diffusion models

Julien Brajard, Anton Korosov, Yiguo Wang, Richard Davy





Motivation



Physical model (NeXtSIM) forecast

Satellite observation product (CS2SMOS)



Why is it important?



Case 1: Predictability

Forecast skill Detrended correlation coefficient of Sea ice extent in Chukchi Sea



Initialization using Sea ice concentration observations only



Initialization using Sea ice concentration observations + Sea ice thickness only

> Black dot means not significant Courtesy of N. Williams

Case 2: Surface fluxes







Steps of the project



Step 1: Dataset constitution





Dataset:

- 4 input features (filtered)
- 529 samples, from 01-01-2020 to 30-09-2020
- Training: 10-10 -> 20-09 (509 samples)
- Validation 04-10-> 09-10 and 21-09->26-09
- (12 samples)





Apply diffusion model to sea ice thickness super-resolution

Used for AI image generator (Ex: Midjourney)

Observable low-resolution images

A generated high-resolution image







Principle of the diffusion model



esa

NERSC

Implementation details



Training algorithm



For one sample

- **1.** Draw a HR image y and a LR context x in the training set
- 2. Draw a diffusion time t between 0 (full signal) and 1 (full noise)
- 3. Draw a white Gaussian noise ϵ
- **4.** Compute diffusion angle: $\gamma = \gamma_{min} + t. (\gamma_{max} \gamma_{min})$
- **5**. Compute the signal and noise rate: $r_s = \cos \gamma$, $r_{\epsilon} = \sin \gamma$
- **6.** Compute the noisy image: $z_t = r_s \cdot y + r_{\epsilon} \cdot \epsilon$
- **7.** Predict the noise by the NN: $\hat{\epsilon} = f_{\theta}(z_t, t, x)$
- 8. Predict the image: $\hat{z}_{t-1} = (z_t r_{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}) / r_s$
- 9. Compute the loss on the noise: = $L(\theta) = \|\epsilon \hat{\epsilon}\|^2$
- 10. Minimize L







A stochastic generator



The generated process depends on the noise and enable to generate an ensemble of likely high-resolution images.

True image





Preliminary result

TARGET

Generated field in anomaly (SIT HighRes – SIT LowRes)

10°

10¹

100



10²



More on power spectrums







Anomaly Vs full field generation

Anomaly generation



Full-field generation

NN



Full-field induces large-scale biases



- Diffusion models can be used to generate a realistic sea ice thickness field
- Many implementation choices have been made:
 - Dataset creation: smoothing
 - Choice of input features: guided by observable variables
 - Model architecture: Residual UNET
 - Diffusion type: continuous time
 - Training: Loss computed on the noise
 - Generation: deterministic generation (the noise is only drawn once)
 - Many hyperparameters
- What is the more sensitive choice?
- Next step: inference with observation data